

**Application No.:** 10/563,455  
**Filing Date:** August 31, 2006

**REMARKS**

In the Restriction Requirement mailed August 12, 2009, the Examiner has required restriction of prosecution to one of four groups of inventions. In response, Applicants elect, without traverse, to prosecute claims directed to the invention identified by the Examiner as Group I, encompassing Claims 1-35 (*see Office Action page 2, paragraph 1*).

The Examiner has also required an election of species (*see Office Action page 3, paragraph 3*). Applicants telephoned the Examiner to clarify the species election and the Examiner informed the Applicants that the requirement for species election was made in error. Accordingly, Applicants have not provided an election of species in this response.

Applicants have amended claims 8-10, 14, 15, 21-23, 27, 35, 39, and 41-43 to correct typographical errors and to otherwise clarify the invention. These amendments are made without prejudice or disclaimer. Support for the claim amendments can be found throughout the specification and claims as originally filed in the application (e.g., with reference to WO2005/003178, support for the amendment to claim 14 can be found at page 8, lines 15-17). Accordingly, no new matter has been introduced by these amendments. Applicants note that claims 41-43 have been amended to depend from claims 28 and 34 so as to include these claims in Group I.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: September 9, 2009

By: 

Eric S. Furman, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 45,664  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(619) 235-8550

7768141/dar  
090409